



## Debunking the \$100 Million Myth

The DIBC has spent millions of dollars in false advertising telling Michigan residents that the NITC Bridge will cost Michigan taxpayers \$100 million per year.

That is absolutely untrue.

That \$100 million figure is from a bogus economic study paid for by the Ambassador Bridge and conducted by the Conway McKenzie firm. According to the study, the NITC project will lose \$4.7 billion dollars. That is almost \$1 billion more than it would cost to build the entire project on both sides of the border.

Here is why the study is wrong:

**Conway McKenzie Claim:** *The tolls would have to cover the cost of \$3.5 billion spent on both sides of the border.*

**Truth:** The tolls only have to cover the \$949 million in private investment. Once that is paid off, they would repay the \$550 million to the Canadian government. All other expenditures on the Canadian side are appropriations from the Canadian and Ontario governments and are not paid back with toll revenue.

**Conway McKenzie Claim:** *The tolls must pay back the \$3.5 billion in 25 years.*

**Truth:** The legislation proposed by Senator Richardville allows for 45 years to pay-off the private investment (\$949 million) and the Canadian contribution (\$550 million) The study also over estimates the interest rates for both the private financing and the repayments to Canada.

**Conway McKenzie Claim:** *Michigan will have to cover any shortfalls from tolls to make payments for investment loans or contributions.*

**Truth:** Canada has guaranteed that they will cover any shortfall between revenues and costs. The legislation prohibits the state from incurring any liability.

**Conway McKenzie Claim:** *It will cost Michigan taxpayers \$100 million per year.*

**Truth: This is absolutely untrue.** The \$100 million dollars is a convoluted formula made up of hypothetical numbers from the bogus Conway study (\$70 million yearly cost to state). It then adds theoretical lost revenue from the Blue Water Bridge and Detroit-Windsor Tunnel, and the lost tax revenue from the Ambassador Bridge (figured at a 40% tax rate). And then it adds on property taxes and income taxes from the Delray area. Shifting revenues from one publicly owned crossing to another is not a loss. The DIBC certainly does not pay 40 percent tax rate to the state and the Delray residents will be relocated - not eliminated.